

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

SOPHIA SAHAGÚN, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

THEMIS BAR REVIEW, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-2065

Hon. Steven C. Seeger

**FINAL JUDGMENT ORDER**

This Court hereby gives final approval to the proposed Settlement Agreement under Rule 23(e) and enters final judgment as follows.

WHEREAS, a class action is pending before the Court entitled *Sahagún v. Themis Bar Review, LLC*, No. 1:24-cv-2065; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Sophia Sahagún (“Plaintiff”), and Defendant Themis Bar Review, LLC (“Defendant”) have entered into a Class Action Settlement Agreement, which, together with the exhibits attached thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and dismissal of the Action with prejudice as to Defendant upon the terms and conditions set forth therein (the “Settlement Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, on October 30, 2025, the Court granted Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, conditionally certifying a Class of “persons in the United States who, from March 12, 2022, to and through August 22, 2024: (1) have or had a Facebook account; (2) also had a digital subscription to Themis Bar Review; and (3) who viewed videos on Themis’s website while their Facebook membership was active”; and

WHEREAS, the Court has considered the parties’ Class Action Settlement Agreement, as well as Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff’s Motion for

Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses, And Service Award, together with all exhibits thereto, the arguments and authorities presented by the Parties and their counsel at the Final Approval Hearing held on March 16, 2026, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECREED, AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Terms and phrases in this Final Judgment shall have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Parties' Class Action Settlement Agreement.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class members.

3. The notice provided to the Settlement Class pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and order granting Preliminary Approval – including (i) direct notice to the Settlement Class via email, based on the Class List provided by Defendant, and (ii) the creation of the Settlement Website – fully complied with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and due process, and was reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, their right to object to or to exclude themselves from the Settlement Agreement, and their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing.

4. Six individuals listed in Attachment 6 to the February 20, 2026 Declaration of Cameron R. Azari, Esq. of Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc. have submitted timely requests for exclusion and are therefore excluded from the Settlement Class.

5. This Court now gives final approval to the Settlement Agreement, and finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The settlement consideration provided under the Settlement Agreement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the release of the Released Claims against the Released Parties. The Court finds that the consideration to be paid to members of the Settlement Class is reasonable, and

in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members, considering the total value of their claims compared to (i) the disputed factual and legal circumstances of the Action, (ii) affirmative defenses asserted in the Action, and (iii) the potential risks and likelihood of success of pursuing litigation on the merits. The complex legal and factual posture of this case, the amount of discovery completed, and the fact that the Settlement is the result of arms'-length negotiations between the parties support this finding. The Court finds that these facts, in addition to the Court's observations throughout the litigation, demonstrate that there was no collusion present in the reaching of the Settlement Agreement, implicit or otherwise.

6. The Court has specifically considered the factors relevant to class action settlement approval, including:

(1) the strength of the case for plaintiffs on the merits, balanced against the extent of settlement offer; (2) the complexity, length, and expense of further litigation; (3) the amount of opposition to the settlement; (4) the reaction of members of the class to the settlement; (5) the opinion of competent counsel; and (6) stage of the proceedings and the amount of discovery completed.

*Wong v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, 773 F.3d 859, 863 (7th Cir. 2014).

7. The Court finds that the Class Representative and Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purposes of litigating this matter and entering into and implementing the Settlement Agreement.

8. Accordingly, the Settlement is hereby finally approved in all respects.

9. The parties are hereby directed to implement the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions.

10. This Court hereby dismisses the Action, as identified in the Settlement Agreement, on the merits and with prejudice.

11. Upon the Effective Date of this Final Judgment, Plaintiff and each and every Settlement Class Member who did not opt out of the Settlement Class (whether or not such members submit claims), including such individuals' respective present or past heirs, executors, estates, administrators, predecessors, successors, assigns, parent companies, subsidiaries, associates, affiliates, employers, employees, agents, consultants, independent contractors, insurers, reinsurers, directors, managing directors, officers, partners, principals, members, attorneys, accountants, financial and other advisors, underwriters, shareholders, lenders, auditors, investment advisors, legal representatives, successors in interest, assigns and companies, firms, trusts, and corporations ("Releasing Parties") shall be deemed to have released Defendant, as well as any and all of its respective present or past heirs, executors, estates, administrators, predecessors, successors, assigns, parent companies, subsidiaries, licensors, licensees, associates, affiliates, employers, employees, agents, consultants, independent contractors, insurers, reinsurers, directors, managing directors, officers, partners, principals, members, attorneys, accountants, financial and other advisors, underwriters, shareholders, lenders, auditors, investment advisors, legal representatives, successors in interest, assigns and companies, firms, trusts, and corporations ("Released Parties") from any and all actual, potential, filed, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, claimed or unclaimed, suspected or unsuspected, claims, demands, liabilities, rights, causes of action, contract or agreements, extra contractual claims, damages, punitive, exemplary or multiplied damages, expenses, costs, attorneys' fees, and/or obligations (including "Unknown Claims"), whether in law or in equity, accrued or unaccrued, direct, individual or representative, of every nature and description whatsoever, whether based on the VPPA, CIPA, or other state, federal, local, statutory, or common law or any other law, rule or regulation, against Released Parties, or any of them, arising out of any facts, transactions, events, matters occurrences, acts,

disclosures, statements, representations, omissions or failures to act regarding the alleged disclosure, use, interception or transfer of information of or related to the Settlement Class Members through use of the Meta pixel or other, pixels, cookies, tracking or analytics tools, including all claims that were brought or could have been brought in the Action relating to such information belonging to any and all Releasing Parties. Nothing herein is intended to release any claims any governmental agency or governmental actor has against Defendant.

12. Upon the Effective Date of this Final Judgment, the above release of claims and the Settlement Agreement will be binding on, and will have *res judicata* and preclusive effect on, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of Plaintiff and all other Settlement Class Members and Releasing Parties. All Settlement Class Members are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating (as class members or otherwise) in any lawsuit or other action in any jurisdiction based on or arising out of any of the Released Claims.

13. The Court has also considered the objection submitted by Christopher Skambis on February 6, 2026, and Class Counsel's response thereto. The Court finds no basis to deny final approval of the Settlement and, accordingly, the objection is overruled. The Court also notes that Skambis withdrew his objections in his letter dated March 9, 2026. *See* 3/9/26 Letter (Dckt. No. 92).

14. The Court has also considered Plaintiff's Motion For Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses, And Service Award, as well as the supporting memorandum of law and declarations, and adjudges that the payment of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses in the amount of \$787,500 is reasonable in light of the multi-factor test used to evaluate fee awards in Illinois. *See McNiff v. Mazda Motor of Am., Inc.*, 384 Ill. App. 3d 401, 407 (4th Dist. 2008). Such payment shall be made

pursuant to and in the manner provided by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court has also considered Plaintiff's Motion, memorandum of law, and supporting declaration for service award to the Class Representative, Sophia Sahagún. The Court adjudges that the payment of a service award in the amount of \$5,000 to Ms. Sahagún to compensate her for her efforts and commitment on behalf of the Settlement Class, is fair, reasonable, and justified under the circumstances of this case. Such payment shall be made pursuant to and in the manner provided by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

16. All payments made to Settlement Class Members pursuant to the Settlement Agreement that are not cashed within one hundred and eighty (180) days of issuance shall revert to the Settlement Fund, to be redistributed on a *pro rata* basis (after first deducting any necessary settlement administration expenses from such unclaimed funds) to all Settlement Class Members who claimed their payments. To the extent a secondary distribution would be infeasible, any unclaimed funds shall, subject to Court approval, revert to the Greater Chicago Legal Clinic, a nonsectarian, not-for-profit organization, or another non-sectarian, not-for-profit organization(s) recommended by Class Counsel and approved by the Court.

17. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment for purposes of appeal, until the Effective Date the Court shall retain jurisdiction over all matters relating to administration, consummation, enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement.

18. The Court finds that there is no just reason to delay, and therefore directs the Clerk of Court to enter this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment as the judgment of the Court forthwith.

Date: March 18, 2026

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of stylized initials 'SCS' followed by a long, sweeping horizontal line that extends to the right.

Steven C. Seeger  
United States District Judge